|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Course name:** Quantitative Research Methods for the social sciences | |
| **Semester**: Fall 2018 | **Course code:** |
| **Number of registered students:** 36 master, 10 PHD |  |
| **Type of evaluation (written/oral/other):**  Group discussion after last seminar.  Written individual for those who wanted | **Number of respondents:** ≈ 40 |

1. Which aspects of the module/course went well?

|  |
| --- |
| * Good structure with web lectures, lessons and computer sessions. * Web lectures was good and instructive. * The computer sessions were useful. * It was useful and good to be able to ask questions to the teacher during the lessons. * Good that answers were presented quickly after the lesson. * Good how to learn about how to write about statistical analysis.   *Regarding the Home examination:*  - Good with home examination before Christmas  - Level was good  - The examination reflected what was done in the course. |

1. Which aspects of the module/course need improvement?

|  |
| --- |
| *Many of the students asked for some changes regarding the project:*   * Supervision did not work well. * Expectations too high * The level was too hard and it was too little time * More individual times for supervision. * Clearer guidelines about how to present in the final seminar.   *Students from Socionomprogrammet*  - Level too high  - Too much calculations |

1. Suggested changes for the coming semesters (if any)

|  |
| --- |
| Focus on changes to 2019 will be on the project:   * A seminar in the beginning of the project where students can discuss research questions and possible ways for doing analysis. * More times for individual supervision * Development of clearer guidelines for project and presentation of project. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Professor/Lecturer:** Marie Lindkvist | **Date:** 2019-01-25 |