



Course name: One Year Master Thesis in Statistics / Magisteruppsats i statistik	
Semester: Spring semester 2019	Course code: 2ST021
Antal registrerade studenter: 5	
Type of course evaluation (written/oral/other): Written (individual)	Antal deltagande i utvärdering: 4

1. Which parts of the course work well?

Summary of students' answers:

- The suggestions of topics from the supervisors.
- The supervision.
- The manuscript review seminar.

2. Which parts of the course need of improve?

Summary of students' answers:

- More instructions regarding the opposition, in particular the written summary of the opposition that should be submitted before the end seminar.
- Better time allocation between students at the seminars so that all manuscripts are discussed just as carefully.
- More time between the submission for the mid seminar and the mid seminar itself. More time to read the other students' abstracts are needed.



3. Suggested changes.

Our suggestion of changes is based on the students' course evaluation, the teaching team's and supervisors' discussions:

- We will schedule more time between submission for the mid seminar and the mid seminar itself.
- We will add short instructions about the format for the written summary of the opposition in the "Assignment" (in Cambro).
- If possible, we will include material about being an opponent (a critical peer reviewer) and giving constructive criticism at the Cambro site.
- More emphasis will be given to the material about ethical considerations for statisticians, about scientific writing and about reference systems etcetera that are linked to at the Cambro site. No students say that they have used this material and they should do that.

Maybe the ethical guidelines can be discussed at the first seminar along with the problem descriptions, the time plans and the dispositions of the students. What ethical dilemmas might the planned work with the thesis implicate?

Lärare:
Lina Schelin
Maria Karlsson

Datum:
2019-06-17